THE HON'BLE SMT.JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

–

WRIT PETITION No. 18207 OF 2005

–

Dt: 17.10.2005

Between:

P.Stalin Babu, R/o.Plot No.8, Sivasainagar

Kushaiguda, Hyderabad … PETITIONER

AND

The Station House Officer, Kushaiguda Police Station

Cyberabad, Hyderabad and others … RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SMT.JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

WRIT PETITION No. 18207 OF 2005

ORDER: (per the Hon'ble Snt.Justice T.Meena Kumari)

This is a taken up Writ Petition basing on the Telegram dated 14.08.2005 sent by the petitioner complaining that on 13.08.2005 at 2.00 p.m. two Police Constables of Kushaiguda Police Station sent by the Inspector of Police, Kushaiguda trespassed into his house; forcibly thrown away all his house- hold articles on the road; pushed him and his family members out of the house; locked the door of his premises and threatened him with dire consequences.

Learned Government Pleader for Home took notice on behalf of the respondents and filed counter-affidavit of the Inspector of Police, Kushaiguda Police Station, Cyberabad.

In the counter-affidavit, the first respondent has denied the allegations made in the Telegram and stated that basing on the complaint dated 12.08.2005 given by one Mr.Syed Khaja alleging that the father of the petitioner and others criminally trespassed into his land in Survey Numbers 232 to 243 situated at Plot No.97, Kushaiguda, Hyderabad and threatened him with dire consequences, a case in Crime No.273 of 2005 under Sections 447, 506 I.P.C. and 3 and 4 of the A.P. Land Grabbing Act was registered and investigation was taken up. During the course of investigation in Crime No.273 of 2005, the first respondent deputed two constables to Plot No.97, Kushaiguda, Hyderabad on 13.08.2005 and caused enquiries with regard to the allegations made in the said complaint and that no enquiries were caused with regard to Plot Nos.8, 12 and 99 situated in Survey Numbers 232 to 243 as alleged by the petitioner. It is further stated that no case is registered or pending against the petitioner with regard to the plots in question and hence, the question of locking the house of the petitioner and threatening him does not arise.

In view of the categorical statements made in the counter-affidavit, the Writ Petition needs no interference and it is accordingly dismissed.

(T.MEENA KUMARI, J)

(K.C.BHANU, J)

Dt: 17.10.2005

bsv/lvl